

Defendant

$$\begin{array}{c}) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \end{array}$$

(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is a “natural” person residing Oklahoma City, OK 73117.

5. Plaintiff is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

6. Defendant is a corporation with its principal place of business located at 9111 Duke Boulevard, Suite 100, Mason, Ohio 45040.

7. Defendant is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

8. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. Plaintiff had a cellular telephone number that she has had for more than one year.

10. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.

11. The phone number has been assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff pays the bill.

12. Beginning sometime in or around January or February 2016, and continuing through August 2016, Defendant called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone.

13. Defendant called Plaintiff from multiple telephone numbers including, but not limited to, 513-573-8739, 513-573-8717, 727-556-7300, 513-573-8031,

1 513-754-9822, and 513-573-8038. The undersigned has confirmed that these
2 numbers are used by the Defendant.

3 14. When contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone, Defendant used an
4 automatic telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded messages.
5

6 15. Defendant's calls were not made for "emergency purposes" but to
7 collect an alleged Macy's debt.

8 16. Plaintiff knew that calls were automated as they would start with a
9 recorded voice before the line would hang up or there would be a pause and then
10 the call would be transferred to a live representative.
11

12 17. The Plaintiff also received automated messages from Defendant.

13 18. Shortly after the calls began in January or February 2016, Plaintiff told
14 the Defendant to stop calling her cellular telephone.
15

16 19. Instead, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff's cellular telephone
17 without her express consent.

18 20. Defendant called Plaintiff multiple days a week during this time period
19 and would often call four or more calls a day.
20

21 21. Frustrated and annoyed by Defendant's repeated calls, in March 2016
22 the Plaintiff resorted to using a call blocker feature on her cellular telephone.

23 22. Most recently, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone in
24 August 2016.
25

**DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE
TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT**

23. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

24. Defendant initiated multiple automated and/or prerecorded telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone.

25. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.

26. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff after she revoked consent were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.

27. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

28. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.

29. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, KAILA TUCKER, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A);
- b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- c. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) or alternatively that amount for all calls made after Defendant was notified that they were calling the wrong person and wrong number;
- d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);
- e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, KAILA TUCKER, demands a jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATED: January 5, 2017

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.
By: /s/ Rachel Rebecca Stevens
Rachel Rebecca Stevens, Esq.
PA Attorney ID No. 307819
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 E. Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002
Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 141
Fax: (877) 788-2864
Email: rstevens@creditlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

I certify that on January 5, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the U.S. District Court, Western District of Oklahoma, using the electronic case filing system of the Court, which will effect service on all parties who have appeared through their counsel of record:

Clayton D. Ketter, Esq.
Melvin R. McKay, Jr.
Phillips Murrah, P.C.
101 N. Robinson Avenue, 13th Fl.
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
cdketter@phillipsmurrah.com

Attorneys for Defendant FDS Bank

And placed a copy in the U.S. Mail to the following who have not appeared:

Citibank, N.A.
ATTN: Legal Department
399 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10043

Dated: January 5, 2017

By: /s/ Rachel Rebecca Stevens

Rachel Rebecca Stevens
PA Attorney ID No. 307819
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 E. Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002
Phone: (215) 540-8888
Fax: (877) 788-2864
Email: rstevens@creditlaw.com